

Enhanced Transmission Through Disordered Potential Barrier

V. Freilikher, M. Pustilnik and I. Yurkevich

The Jack and Perl Resnick Institute of Advanced Technology,

Department of Physics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

Effect of weak disorder on tunneling through a potential barrier is studied analytically. A diagrammatic approach based on the specific behavior of sub-barrier wave functions is developed. The problem is shown to be equivalent to that of tunneling through rectangular barriers with Gaussian distributed heights. The distribution function for the transmission coefficient T is derived, and statistical moments $\langle T^n \rangle$ are calculated. The surprising result is that in average disorder increases both tunneling conductance and resistance. PACS numbers: 73.40.Gk, 73.50.Bk, 42.25.Bs

It is well known that in one-dimensional disordered system the interference of propagating waves results in strong localization. Transmission coefficient (transmittance) T for such a system is not self-averaging quantity and obeys the log-normal distribution law.

The question arises what is the effect of multiply scattering of subbarrier (evanescent) waves on the tunneling transmissivity, when the standard conceptions of phases, interference and coherent trajectories are unapplicable. This problem apparently arises in a wide variety of applications in solid state theory, optics and radiophysics.

The particular question this paper is addressed to is how the one-dimensional quantum tunneling is disturbed by random perturbations of the shape of potential barrier. We consider a disordered region $0 \leq x \leq L$ with the potential given by

$$U(x) = V_0 + v(x) , \quad (1)$$

$v(x)$ being a random function of x . An incident (from the left) particle has energy k^2 (we use units where $\hbar^2/2m = 1$) that is less than the height of the unperturbed barrier V_0 :

$$V_0 = k^2 + \kappa^2 > k^2 . \quad (2)$$

Transmittance of such a system is expressed through the Green function of the problem as

$$T = |\tau|^2 = 4k^2 |\mathcal{G}(0, L)|^2 , \quad (3)$$

where τ is the amplitude transmission coefficient, and $\mathcal{G}(x, x')$ satisfies the equation

$$(k^2 - H) \mathcal{G}(x, x') = \delta(x - x') , \quad (4)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + U(x) [\theta(x) - \theta(x - L)] . \quad (5)$$

In the case $V_0 < k^2$ there exist standard approaches based on the averaging over rapidly oscillating phases [1]. In the case of subbarrier penetration evanescent waves have no rapidly oscillating phases, and we need another method allowing to utilize their special features.

Below we develop a diagrammatic perturbation approach that takes an advantage of the rapid decay of evanescent waves.

In order to take into account explicitly scattering by the barrier edges we decompose H into 3 terms so that

$$H = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_0 \right) + \Delta V_1(x) + \Delta V_2(x) , \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Delta V_1(x) = v(x) [\theta(x) - \theta(x - L)] ,$$

$$\Delta V_2(x) = V_0 [\theta(x) - \theta(x - L) - 1] .$$

We introduce the "subbarrier" Green function $G(x, x')$ satisfying the equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \kappa^2 - \Delta V_1(x) \right) G(x, x') = \delta(x - x') . \quad (7)$$

Then the scattering by edges described by the term $\Delta V_2(x)$ can be taken into account through the equation

$$\mathcal{G}(x, x') = G(x, x') + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 G(x, x_1) \Delta V_2(x_1) \mathcal{G}(x_1, x') . \quad (8)$$

Since $\Delta V_2(x_1) = 0$ for $0 \leq x_1 \leq L$ no scattering take place in the region of integration in Eq.(8), and the behavior of both $G(x, x_1)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x_1, x')$ is completely defined by their values at the edges. For $x, x' \in (0, L)$ we obtain from Eq.(8)

$$\mathcal{G}(x, x') = G(x, x') - \kappa_+ (G(0, x) \mathcal{G}(0, x') + G(x, L) \mathcal{G}(x', L)) , \quad (9)$$

where $\kappa_{\pm} = \kappa \pm ik$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{G}(0, L) = \frac{G(0, L)}{f(0)f(L) - \kappa_+^2 G^2(0, L)} , \quad (10)$$

where

$$f(z) = 1 + \kappa_+ G(z, z) . \quad (11)$$

To proceed let us present Eq.(7) in the integral form:

$$G(x, x') = G_0(x, x') + \int_0^L dx_1 G_0(x, x_1) v(x_1) G(x_1, x'). \quad (12)$$

Here we have introduced the unperturbed "subbarrier" Green function

$$G_0(x, x') = -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \exp(-\kappa |x - x'|). \quad (13)$$

The idea of further calculations can be illustrated by consideration of the first term $G^{(1)}(x, x')$ in the diagrammatic expansion of the average subbarrier Green function $\langle G(x, x') \rangle$:

$$G^{(1)}(x, x') = W \int_0^L dx_1 G_0(x, x_1) G_0(x_1, x'). \quad (14)$$

Here the correlation function of the random part of potential $v(x)$ is taken to be

$$\langle v(x) v(x') \rangle = W \delta(x - x') \quad (15)$$

Straightforward calculation shows that

$$G^{(1)}(x, x') = G_0(x, x') \left(\frac{x' - x}{l} + \frac{\gamma}{2\kappa l} \right), \quad (16)$$

where

$$\gamma(x, x') = 2 - e^{-2\kappa x} - e^{-2\kappa(L-x')},$$

and we have introduced the subbarrier scattering length

$$l = 4\kappa^2 / W. \quad (17)$$

The first term in brackets in Eq.(16) results from the integration over the region $x \leq x_1 \leq x'$, where $G_0(x, x_1)G_0(x_1, x')$ does not depend on x_1 , while the second one comes from $0 \leq x_1 \leq x$ and $x' \leq x_1 \leq L$, where $G_0(x, x_1)G_0(x_1, x')$ decreases exponentially as a function of x_1 . In the case that $x - x' \simeq L$ the contribution from these exponential tails is small in parameter $(\kappa L)^{-1}$. Therefore, if $\kappa L \gg 1$ the region $x \leq x_1 \leq x'$ produces the most divergent

with L contribution, and integration region in Eq. (14) can be restricted by (x, x') . This simple consideration being extended for arbitrary order in perturbation parameter W leads to conclusion that only "x-ordered" diagrams should be taken into account. This means that we have to allow for diagrams in which the scattering points x_i (variables of integration) are ordered along a straight line (Green function line) with respect to each other and to the fixed points $x = 0$ and $x' = L$. For m-th order of the average Green function an ordered diagram corresponds to the integration over the regions

$$0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_m \leq L \quad (18)$$

if scattering points x_i are numerated subsequently from the left to the right (see Fig.1a). It is easy to see that above arguments being extended for arbitrary order of arbitrary moments of Green function bring us to the following selection rules: i) only diagrams containing no crossings of scattering lines are important; ii) scattering points belonging to the same Green function line must be ordered with respect to each other.

Selection rules being applied to the calculation of the average subbarrier Green function give us

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G(x, x') \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \exp(-\kappa |x - x'|) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{W}{4\kappa^2} \int_{x_1 \leq x_2 \dots \leq x_m} dx_1 \dots dx_m = \\ &= G_0(x, x') \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{W}{4\kappa^2} \frac{L^m}{m!} = G_0(x, x') \exp(|x - x'|/l) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Function $\langle G(x, x') \rangle$ contains factor describing an exponential enhancement in comparison with G_0 . It can be shown that this is also true for all higher statistical moments $\langle G^n(x, x') \rangle$ as well. In the case $\kappa l \gg 1$, $\kappa L \gg 1$ arguments similar to above lead us to the necessity to summarize only ordered diagrams of the type shown at Fig.1b. To perform this calculation, let us consider a typical pair of diagrams different from each other by permutation of two scattering lines (Fig. 2). The sum of these diagrams can be written as

$$\dots \int_{x_i}^{x_j} dy \int_{x_i}^y dz \dots + \dots \int_{x_i}^{x_j} dy \int_y^{x_j} dz \dots = \dots \int_{x_i}^{x_j} dy \int_{x_i}^{x_j} dz \dots$$

where we have used independence of Green functions on scattering points for ordered diagrams. As one can see, the limits of integration over z do not depend on y . Repeating this procedure we can add other diagrams different by the location of one selected scattering line. This trick can be continued till we meet scattering line of the same kind (i.e., it has scattering points lying on the same Green function lines as that we watch for). As the result the integrand does not depend on coordinates, and we should no longer care of the ordering between different scattering lines. Therefore, the problem is reduced to combinatorial governed by the fact that integration should be restricted by the scattering points of the nearest scattering lines. Upon applying this procedure to all possible pairs of this kind in all perturbation orders we deduce that for $x, x' \in (0, L)$

$$\frac{\langle G^n(x, x') \rangle}{\langle G(x, x') \rangle^n} = \left[\frac{\langle G^2(x, x') \rangle}{\langle G(x, x') \rangle^2} \right]^{C_n^2} \quad (20)$$

where $C_n^2 = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ is the number of possibilities to combine n Green functions into pairs. Calculation of $\langle G^2(x, x') \rangle$ is straightforward and yields

$$\langle G^2(x, x') \rangle = \langle G(x, x') \rangle^2 \exp(|x - x'|/l).$$

It is convenient to define the quantity ξ through the equation

$$\exp(\xi t/2) = G(0, L)/G_0(0, L) \quad (21)$$

where the dimensionless length $t = L/l$. From Eq.(20) follows

$$\langle e^{n\xi t/2} \rangle = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)t\right).$$

Statistical moments of this form correspond to the Gaussian distribution law for the random quantity $\xi(t)$:

$$P_t(\xi) = \sqrt{\frac{t}{8\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8}t(\xi - 1)^2\right]. \quad (22)$$

With the same accuracy as in derivation of Eq.(20) one can find that

$$\langle G^n(0, L)G^m(x, x) \rangle \approx \langle G^n(0, L) \rangle G_0^m(x, x).$$

This means that $G(0, 0)$ and $G(L, L)$ can be replaced by their unperturbed values which are equal to $(-1/2\kappa)$ with the accuracy $1/\kappa l$. After this procedure we obtain

$$T(\xi) = \frac{1 - \cos 4\theta}{\cosh(\eta - \xi)t - \cos 4\theta}, \quad (23)$$

where $\eta = 2\kappa l \gg 1$, $\theta = \tan^{-1}(\kappa/k)$. One can see that $T(\xi = 0)$ is exactly the transmission coefficient $T_0(t)$ of the ideal barrier of dimensionless length t and height η .

$$T_0(t) = \frac{1 - \cos 4\theta}{\cosh \eta t - \cos 4\theta} \quad (24)$$

Eqs.(22) and (23) bring us to the conclusion that our problem is equivalent to the problem of transmittance of rectangular barrier with random height where deviation ξ from unperturbed value η is described by the Gaussian distribution function (22).

From Eqs.(22),(23) follows that in the limit $t = L/l \gg 1$

$$\langle R(L) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{T(L)} \right\rangle - 1 = \frac{e^{L/l}}{T_0(L)}, \quad (25)$$

which coincides with the result of Ref. [2].

Eqs.(22),(23) allow us to calculate all statistical moments of T

$$\langle T^n \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi P_t(\xi) T^n(\xi). \quad (26)$$

For the long distance limit ($t \gg 1$) we obtain

$$\langle T^n \rangle = T_0^n(t) e^{2n(n+\frac{1}{2})t}, \quad n \ll \eta/4, \quad t \gg 1. \quad (27)$$

The main contribution to the large statistical moments $n \gg \eta/4$ comes from ξ of the order of η , corresponding to the strong fluctuations of random potential when they become comparable with height of unperturbed barrier. In this case our approach is not applicable and methods of Refs. [3] should be used. Neglecting these rear downshooting we are able to retrieve the distribution function

$$P_t(\alpha) \approx \frac{\alpha^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{\sqrt{8\pi t}} \exp \left[-\frac{t}{8} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\ln \alpha}{t} \right)^2 \right) \right], \quad \eta t \gg \ln \alpha. \quad (28)$$

for normalized transmittance $\alpha(t) = T/T_0$ ($0 < \alpha < 1/T_0$) that characterizes the enhancement of the transmission rate in comparison with that of the unperturbed barrier.

In Ref. [4] the subbarrier transmission problem was attacked with the aid of the invariant imbedding method. Due to an unestimated approximation which enabled to solve the invariant imbedding equations there was obtained an expression like Eq. (22) of the present paper but different by term -1 in the brackets in exponent (22). This difference becomes crucial for large t and leads to wrong distribution function of transmission coefficient.

It should be noticed that since subbarrier scattering does not randomize phase (this follows from the fact that all functions in Eq.(12) are real), our method is applicable also to calculation of the statistical moments of the amplitude transmission coefficient $\tau = 2k\mathcal{G}(0, L)$. With the use of Eq.(22) we obtain:

$$\langle \tau^n \rangle \approx \tau_0^n(t) e^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)t}, \text{ for } n \ll \eta/2, 2\kappa L \gg 1 \quad (29)$$

where τ_0 is unperturbed value. It is readily seen that even mean field characteristic $\langle \tau \rangle$ contains exponential enhancement factor. This fact can be easily understood by straightforward consideration of the Dyson equation for the true average Green function $\langle \mathcal{G}(x, x') \rangle$:

$$\langle \mathcal{G}(x, x') \rangle = \mathcal{G}_0(x, x') + \int_0^L dx_1 \mathcal{G}_0(x, x_1) \Sigma(x_1) \langle \mathcal{G}(x_1, x') \rangle, \quad (30)$$

which can be rewritten in differential form:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \kappa^2 - \Sigma(x) \right) \langle \mathcal{G}(x, x') \rangle = \delta(x - x'). \quad (31)$$

For weak disorder we can use the simplest approximation for the self-energy $\Sigma(x) \approx WG_0(x, x)$ which on a distance $1/\kappa \ll L$ becomes equal to negative constant $-W/2\kappa$. Comparing Eq.(31) with Eqs. (4), (5) one can see that disorder causes an effective lowering of the barrier height equal to its mean square deviation $-W/2\kappa$. For weak scattering ($\kappa^2 \gg \Sigma$ that is equivalent to $\kappa l \gg 1$) the solution of Eq. (30) or (31) turns out to be exactly the same as that obtained above by summarizing of ordered diagrams.

To conclude, diagrammatic approach for calculation of any moments of subbarrier Green function has been developed. The distribution function of transmission coefficient through

1D disordered potential barrier has been found. It is shown that an ensemble of disordered barriers is equivalent to ensemble of rectangular barriers with random Gaussian distributed heights. Disorder causes enhancement on average of both tunneling conductance and resistance.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. L. Berezinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP **38**, 620 (1974); N. Kumar, Phys. Rev.B **31**, 5513 (1985).
- [2] S. B. Haley and P. Erdős, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 8572 (1992).
- [3] I. M. Lifshitz and V. Ya. Kirpichenkov, Sov. Phys. JETP **50**, 499 (1979); M. Raikh in *Mesoscopic Phenomena in Solids*, edited by B. L. Altshuler, P. A. Lee, and R. A. Webb (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991), P.315.
- [4] J. Heinrichs, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 5261 (1986); Phys. Rev. B **36**, 2867 (1987).